DevOps Automation Advances I.T. Sectors with the Strategy of Release Management

Sikender Mohsienuddin Mohammad

Department Of Information Technology & Wilmington University 419 V St, Apt D Sacramento,CA, USA 95818

Abstract *—This Development of software release is the systematic process, planning, designing, and monitoring software through different phases and settings; testing and delivery of software releases included. Traditional models, such as incremental/ iterative and ad-hoc approaches, do not fulfill today's demanding customers or I.T. companies. New methods, such as agile software creation and the continuous implementation of DevOps, occur. The research problem for this study is to understand how development and operations automation leads to improving the strategies in release management and easing operations for the I.T. sector (Slack et al., 2018). DevOps and Agile are equally beneficial to faster implementation of working functions in development. Continuous Delivery and DevOps primarily seek to provide quicker and more frequent, more stable applications to meet consumer and company needs (Verona et al., 2016). This paper provides an overview of the development of software release management, from conventional methods to agile and product development via DevOps. This study demonstrates how automation in software release management advances the strategies in the I.T. sector and ease the operations both in time and in effect to meet information system's needs. A review of the literature will be conducted to build a robust evidence-based study on the research problem. Based on what many scholars have found out in their various studies, there is a strong correlation between improved automation and the ease of operations in release management to meet the I.T. needs. This DevOps study aims to explore the benefits of automation advancement for I.T. stakeholders and operating teams to make it easier for emerging technologies to move from initiation to completion of its operations. On a small scale, the advancement of automation is improved by alternating between infrastructure and design. More organized methods, such as CD, are generally needed in large organizations. The most advanced breakthroughs to enhance the reliability of software development in large companies are usually the application of these concepts on a scale, and it should be the focus of any significant transformation (Kim et al., 2016). The software development life cycle and its release management are seen as a painstaking process, because the available resources and time in one software release may not generally meet all requirements. However, the method enables I.T., stakeholders, based on each release restriction, to reach sections of their operational needs for product releases. There are several obstacles to the planning and review process, making it one of the most common problems in software demand engineering.*

Introduction

The management of software releases is all about the release process control, so companies can promptly provide quality software. The distribution of applications is a complex undertaking involving various parties concerned, including product managers, developers, testers, construction and release engineers, senior management, and the management team. These teams are using different tools to track issues, control versions, continuous integration, and manage infrastructure (Bolz, 1985). These tools are sometimes specific to one sub-team and are shared across teams at other times. The complexity of software able to execute critical functions is a challenge to operations management within the mainframe technology world. For years release management has always been a central software development practice (Huizinga &Kolawa, 2007). Release management processes intend to synchronize software development, operational activities, and integration while ensuring that business priorities are aligned. Operations have always been a monster that needed to be continuously supported through the creation of entire administrative structures (Wang, 2013). This bureaucracy has contributed to a system that is susceptible to errors — leading to higher cost and complexity. The condition was unregulated, and something had to be solved. The response to these errors is to make sure that the I.T. systems can control and manage operations.

The release management's primary duties range from demands for making plans, scoping, designing, test automation, and deployment. Release management has progressed with technological advances and practical guidelines but remains a vital framework for I.T. service management and software provision (Humble & Farley, 2011). Around the same time, the emphasis or spectrum of release management has changed from a cut-off approach to a whole process today. I.T. organizations' ability to compete, adapt

and survive with their I.T. products, systems, and services within the modern environment depend significantly on the automation of their operations (Fish, 2012). Cloud computing and virtualization are all forcing I.T. organizations and research and development to innovate new software solutions to meet the high demand of customers (Picozzi, 2017). Market time, consistency, trustworthiness, productivity, and customer satisfaction are critical to survival and profitable I.T. organizations in today's I.T. market.

Because of the diverse and unique configurations required for the various project types, project costs must include time components devoted to equipment management and synchronization, stakeholder communication, and test environments management. The fact that each stakeholder has questions about its political position in the release process further exacerbates this burden (Bolz, 1985). Continuous integration and continuous delivery require automation at the platform level, but additional application automation still has plenty of opportunities. Besides that, there is plenty of room for automation when the independent tools are integrated much tighter (Fish, 2012). Specifications are not well defined and clear since no formal description of the pre-requisites is usually available. The non-standard requirement format often leads to inconclusive illustrations and makes it difficult for I.T. stakeholders to assess and analyze the specifications. Data instability is challenging to gather and unreliable due to insufficient release planning results. In particular, it is challenging to measure projections of readily accessible data, requirements constraints, and definitions of preferences from a stakeholder's point of view. · Constraints exist when planning releases. The product manager must be considered while the requirements are assigned.

Literature review

Didion et al. (2019) explore how automation has improved operations management in the robotic industry. They mention that Few technologies are competing with the new automation advances in their planned capacity to boost companies' efficiency regardless of industry. The probable rate of integration is impressive: the McKinsey Global Institute predicts that more than 81% of observable physical work, 69% of data analysis, and 64% of data collection were also automated utilizing the technology available. These three definitions identify many of the activities carried out in operating centers, what they define as firms that remotely operate, and management tools and resources in the field (Didion et al., 2019). These included I.T. Operations Centers, NOCs, the remote resolution centers, communication centers, and dispatch centers. In reality, new automation processes have already commenced. The automation survey carried out by their colleagues in late 2018 discovered that three-quarters of participants had taken and would be making an automation trip in the coming year.

They acknowledge that they observed first-hand how much automation transformed the technological efficiency of the front-office operating management in their recent studies that support the incorporation of automation technology into the driving centers. According to Didion et al. (2019), Robotic Process Automation (RPA) has been commonly used in support of organizations — initially in joint-service centers which have taken on a healthy support system for the H.R. department, procurement, finance, and I.T. operations of a variety of enterprises (Smith, 2017). These structures were ready to introduce RPA, as many protocols were clearly defined; thus, RPA could decrease (increasing) costs and enhance accuracy. In recent times, RPA technology has improved significantly to deliver the increased quality and reliability necessary to tender customer specific processes in operating centers. Once the SSCs are well developed, companies have started extending the installation of RPA to operational centers in the expectation that operational processes are dramatically streamlined and cost-cutting (Meyler& Bengtsson, 2015).

Impacts of RPA

Didion et al. (2019) state that new automation methods have been used for several years in several sectors. These, however, were relatively limited. For instance, specialized software-controlled functionalities with many backend systems were expensive and very inflexible for many years. The latest automation technologies, like RPA and cognitive technologies, have a significant impact. Didion et al. (2019) also mention that the automation of manual and repeated tasks reduces costs by 30 to 60% ineffective operating centers while improving delivery quality.

The RPAs have successfully focused on value capture, starting with small ones, studying select scenarios of use, and balancing over time. This structured approach has contributed to several performance improvements in operating centers. Didion et al. (2019) state that the prominent managed service provider has reduced their NOC expenses and field force cost by 20-30 percent while automating the performance measurement and boosting the remote resolution of problems. According to Didion et al. (2019), one major telecom operator automated 80% of the resource plan, which reduced escalations by 10% and the cost in cycles and on the ground by 15%, while another telecom operator used automation to lower the cost of NOC operations by 55%.

Industrialization contributed to a decreased cost of a technical call center by over 40% while also boosting service quality, according to Didion et al. (2019).

Impact of automation on I.T. operations

According to Freeform Dynamics (July 2017), The crucial and challenging role of I.T. teams will be further emphasized as you incorporate support for rapidly evolving new initiatives, such as introducing, tracking, and managing digital customer interaction, IoT, machine learning, etc. No surprise, then, that over three-quarters of the respondents who received feedback from a recently completed multinational survey of senior I.T. professionals stated that the pressure is growing on operational processes. In addition to speeding up the activity and release of I.T. operations personnel immediately, automation also has the benefit of minimizing human error related errors. This, in turn, means fewer outages and less remedial work, and the time and distraction associated with this are reduced accordingly. During the investigation by According to Freeform Dynamics (2017), the value was emphasized by most respondents (about 3 out of 4). Automation work concerning all critical aspects of I.T. operations. But a relative minority (typically 1 out of 5 or fewer) said automation was implemented entirely in systems and resource supply. Freeform Dynamics (2017) acknowledge that tools and techniques for automating almost any aspect of I.T. businesses have been around for many years, while automation services are increasingly available with infrastructure components such as servers, storage devices, and network equipment. But with a complicated landscape of options, it can be difficult for busy I.T. experts to spend time monitoring innovations and let alone researching how solutions might work in their climate, without exacerbating the previously described fragmentation and disjoint problem. Freeform Dynamics (2017) suggests that while I.T. teams find the time and resolve the recurrent budget constraints, some social issues still need to be addressed. These include loss of control and even the chance to lose your job. In conjunction with practical considerations such as ensuring that the more advanced production solutions and then the design, construction, and integration tasks required to create an automatic system environment are readily available, it is perfectly understandable that progress to complete automation is relatively slow (Macharla, 2017).

Impact on I.T. teams

Freeform Dynamics (2017) suggests that among the most evident implications of integrated automation SDDC models, the time you need to use for daily management work can be drastically reduced. It takes time to develop trust in an automated service to the moment you are glad that you supervise and handle specifics with little or no human input. Even so, once you become used to it and learn to trust it, you can shift your time, expertise, and knowledge to higher ranking tasks. After a while, the job is less concerned with the management of the network uptime and efficiency and with elements in it and much more about the delivery of services and quality assurance. Similarly, storage management is developing to manage and protect the organization's information resources at the enterprise level once again. Freeform Dynamics (2017 believes that I.T. operating personnel are going to spend their time in different ways; increased automation can go even more profound. If you can provide measurement or restrict access only with a few clicks (without considering how the variables of calculation, volume, and level of service are chosen and configured for physical devices), users no longer need an expert to do that. For instance, a developer who creates a system prototype or an application manager who creates a new staging environment will get what they want in a self-service portal and not raise tickets for different operating teams. According to Freeform Dynamics (2017), SDDC is part of a broader range of improvements that are going to shake up the technology in several various ways, as automation is being carried out in much industries-software development and testing, launches and implementations, safety management, information management and so forth. SDDC will probably play a key role in your global I.T. plans and activities against this backdrop.

According to Ellingrud (2018), the whole existence of several jobs and the emergence of new ones that have not been present in the past are changed by automation and technological advances. Various innovations influence a wide range of professions and sectors and lead to significant changes for employees and businesses. The Uber driver has become incredibly prominent in several American cities as a by-product of mobile technologies. Ellingrud (2018) states that many drivers might have driven taxis in the past, but in this segment of employment, full-time and full-time shifts, more people are working more than one job due to increased efficiency in technology. Even so, there may be many career transfers and retraining, and individual workspaces may allow their staff to start training and explore other industries. Ellingrud (2018) points out that The McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) predicts that by 2030, approximately 15% of the global labor force, or 400 million people, will be displaced as automation is in the center of a broad spectrum of applications. Eight to nine percent of staff are also employed in categories that still do not currently exist. Employees will be significantly reskilling. The ability to digitize information and data stimulates end-to-end process redesign, improves customer experience, and makes operations more effective. Accounting and bookkeeping of apps and applications wholly transformed. Analytics is a crucial part of technological levers of the next generation that allow a better reaction to patterns and what customers want in real-time (Ellingrud, 2018). Automation is the most troubling trend for workers,

especially as a threat that can eliminate production jobs. However, research does also indicate that specific concerns could be overestimated based on tasks that are currently automated, the new roles workers may perform, and the jobs that can be generated by automation. Technology can fuel business growth and create new jobs and product lines in turn. Companies need more critical investment in the ability and retraining of employees. Ellingrud (2018) suggests that as automation can handle up to 45% of repetitive jobs, it gives employees time for more critical tasks, such as problem-solving, solutions seeking, and new ideas creation. This helps staff to build more engaging and challenging work experience. Ellingrud (2018) expects a bright future for manufacturing, whereby we realize greater production efficiency per employee through automation and other technological advances, such as digital and analytics. This could fuel significant growth for businesses and employees and help us maintain the recent high rate of manufacturing jobs.

According to Sjøbakk et al. (2014), when it comes to a reduction in labor cost, reduced production cycle times, and higher quality, automation is generally an efficient means of achieving cost reductions. Most traditional automation literature focuses on lowvariety, high-volume production, stating that automation is particularly applicable to streamlined processes and systems. The recognition of various features such as technical complexity, adaptation, shorter product life cycles, and differential requirement of the ETO chain are instances in which conventional manual work has been recommended to automation. It is expected that technological advancements in robot technology and 3D printing, for example, would change this paradigm. Sjøbakk et al. (2014) state that the next era's industrial robotic systems are more reliable, smaller, more versatile, more accessible to schedule, and safer to use in collaboration with humans, allowing them to handle greater technological complexity and produce higher quality. The point of departure for this study is at least partially because of the lack of instruments and methodologies that assist firms in making sensible automation choices.

The capability of automatically manufacturing largescale products in small lot sizes primarily depends on the installation cycle, tool versatility, tool change times, positioning currency calibration, sensors, and their scheduling. Sjøbakk et al. (2014) mention that the best approach for efficiency and versatility is advocated in industrial robot-based automation. After its introduction in the early 1970s, industrial robots have been used in manufacturing. Initially, they have been used in hot, intense, and potentially harmful applications, like die casting, forging, and spot welding, but have now been used in a wide range of engineering applications, including welding, spray painting, palletizing and handling and disposal and dispensing. Robotic systems can perform their work cost-effective and accurately during long periods for such typically standardized and repetitive manufacturing tasks. However, industrial robots must become more intelligent, interactive, and multifunctional. They must adapt more seamlessly to the processes that they conduct, to generate single or limited series effectively, to cope with the dynamic markets of the day.

Sjøbakk et al. (2014) mention that the automation of work replaces physical and emotional labor by robotic work. It has traditionally been seen as mechanizing manufacturing processes, merely replacing physical human labor with machines. With the advent of computer technology, this perspective was modified, and automation is now mostly associated with a combination of processes (i.e., physical task automation) and computerization (i.e., control and information management automation) (Sjøbakk et al., 2014). Automation may also mean the operator of a human being could feasibly conduct technology by which a process or procedure is performed without human help or specific equipment or systems playing a traditionally performed role or that.

Discussion

With the right tools, it can be simple to automate computer operations and achieve more significant milestones in the I.T. sector. Recognizing these advantages and some barriers will promote The I.T. players in developing and supporting their activities and automation of their projects (Wang, 2013). The main benefits of the automation operations frequently identified include productivity increase, cost reduction, reliability, availability, and improved performance.

Automation Benefits in I.T. operations

1. Operational cost management

Increasing pressure is being placed on every business to increase income. It is a cost reduction approach. However, that data center capability hurts the whole industry. Software for automation is a better, smarter way of reducing and containing costs. The best chance is to increase customer satisfaction (end-user) while raising prices systematically. This savings potential is often overlooked by management. Most modern servers are cost-effective, and the total ownership cost has decreased.

2. Increased productivity

Since technology demands an organization to grow, its productivity also must be a top priority. In general, I.T. operations have taken a back seat, given that other businesses, segments have had the instruments to productivity and their overall performance. The development of workplace productivity applications has contributed to significant improvements in office and human

resources environments (Duro et al., 2015). However, the expansion of the use of computers demanded more activities to be undertaken rather than reducing the workload for the I.T. specialists in the backroom.
With computers being used more, the I.T. system demands more. More users are creating new jobs, and print output has increased despite initiatives to minimize printed reports. Even with this trend towards online, client/server systems, lot workloads continue to expand. The majority of CPU production batch jobs still consume, and employment is continuously added in large shops. The new type of automation solution for the streamline of operational processes in companies of all sizes is robotic process automation. These problems can be resolved in several ways by automated operations. Applications used for job planning improves batch efficiency by automating the development batch system. Computer performance was limited in the early stages by the way operators could reset the console switches quickly. The answer now is not to let the computer stay inactive when the operator waits for the next task to be released. By reducing the time gap between functions and reducing activities, I.T. operators will save time and money. In some situations, they will cut the processing time, allowing you to work more and improve system usage significantly.
The automated application executes commands in a precise and accurate sequence and removes operator errors once the work schedule has been identified. Provision for completion of jobs and the opportunity to conduct "what if" schedule changes analyses will benefit operational activities by discarding most of the guesswork from everyday tasks.

***3.* Ensure high accessibility**

Businesses rely on their computers more and more. Daily operations are done on the online platforms in most cases, for instance: order entry, assembly instructions, bookings, order shipping, etc. It will be challenging to manage these operations if there are no automated systems. Years ago, the computer was considered unavailable for a couple of hours. The failure of communications systems will lead to the loss of millions of dollars in income today and tarnish a firm's reputation, given the high volume of cloud computing (Picozzi, 2017).
One of the main objectives of I.T. management is of high availability. Automatic operations can also assist in this case. A disk drive could crash, but when there is no adequate backup, the problem gets serious — or even worse, if the tape can't be found. A significant automation benefit is one's ability to automate the recovery and storage systems to ensure that disk loss issues or damage to system objects are protected inadvertently from human error. Central management also makes sense in a networked environment. Remote components can resolve I.T. challenges, whereas a single operator monitors critical tasks throughout the system on a central console. Continued monitoring using a low CPU and overhead communication facilitates the discovery of vital trends in network performance.

***4.* Reliability Increase**

Productivity is a reliable automation advantage. But trustworthiness is the real treasure of automation (Graham &Fewster, 2012). This is at the heart of every excellent I.T. department. I.T. operations require two different sets of skills: an operator requires high - level technical expertise, such as an understanding of the dynamics of an operating system and problem analysis and resolving. On the other hand, this same guy must be happy to push buttons and load documents. Automated operations ensure job forgetfulness and failure of a sequence, successful completion of pre-requisite jobs, correct input information, and special processing.
In single-location organizations, all these types of errors occur. In a multi-system network that is spread geographically and includes various operating systems, there are problems in communication, integrated network processing for local areas, and attached P.C.s. There is a significant increase in the risk of mistakes.
Automated operations are the only way to deal with this type of environment (Picozzi, 2017).
Software, based on predefined parameters, can manage complex tasks dynamically and smartly (Ravichandran et al., 2016). However, critical business functions such as job release, backups, and communication assurance are usually done specialists in the I.T. sector (Balasubramanian & In Santos, 2014). The primary benefit of the automated system is that automation software performs these functions efficiently and relieves operators of tedious and boring manual tasks over hours.

5. Performance Optimization

Every company wants a thoroughbred performance of its business. Work is more likely to overload it. While computer advancements make it faster and cheaper each year, demands still increase and eventually surpass the ability of a computer infrastructure company. Many companies want to improve the performance of their systems. The upgrade of hardware or purchase of a new operating system is two ways in which performance can be improved — but both costly choices (Huizinga &Kolawa, 2007). The system can also be tuned for improved performance, but it takes a qualified individual who shouldn't always be available 24 hours a day. But if a workload increases, the configurations are no longer appropriate given that a system is set for a certain amount of work.

Barriers to automated operations

The main benefits of mechanical systems can become a compelling reason for improving the endusers' service, but it is not an easy task. Some many

obstacles and pitfalls need to be addressed. There are always excuses for not doing anything. A recent study of I.T. operators requested why their systems were not automated (Kamath & Saurav, 2016). Responses ran from the uninformed to the anticipated. Some of the reactions involved issues of money, time, code, and even staff. Forty-three percent of the companies surveyed identified and put tasks on their calendars. 57% of those companies have, therefore, failed to recognize the potential benefits of automation (Slack & Brandon-Jones, 2018).

The barriers to operational automation come in two types: cost and employees. Availability and reliability are two of the most obvious advantages. Each of these aspects convinces the public to move ahead with automation tasks and generally supplant the necessity to justify the need for the automation projects. Even so, further cost factors are involved as plans progress. New investment may be required in items like automatic cartridge loaders, automated tape librarian's software, and messengers. Mechanical systems are often successful in companies who implement their efforts early (Billingsley, 2019). But the main reason for automating computer operations does not cost savings. The emphasis should be on enhancing enduser support. As automation software improves the quality of this operation, the associated costs are reduced.

Internal automation solutions often have success at the beginning, but usually, the scope is too limited. Systems frequently fail to meet and strengthen the automation process due to maintenance and improvements (Papajorgji et al., 2016). And internal automation solutions in the I.T. department are costly and are generally low priorities. Therefore, despite the limited success, most internal automation attempts are still standing. Many businesses that have taken this road decide to turn to automation software off-the-shelf.

How my research will be necessary for the U.S. The United States is a leading economy, with many operations taking place every minute in various sectors. With the findings of my research on how the development and operations automation can advance I.T. sectors, there will be changes in how multiple industries conducts their operations to realize efficiency in their output to the economy. The manufacturing industry, for instance, will be boosted with more utilization of robots to perform various services instead of manual work. There are a few companies in the U.S. that have employed robotics in their operations. With my research, they will realize the need for these automated machines to achieve higher production rates. Automation will also reduce factor lead time and improve the safety of workers. Another significant benefit of my research to the U.S. companies is the fact that the cost of production of goods will be lowered. This is because the automation of various I.T. sectors will lead to a significant economy of scale, especially for industries that demand high capital investment (Billingsley, 2019). There will be no need to export jobs to other countries like China and Mexico as these operations can be cut using automated machines.

Conclusion

Automation indeed boosts the I.T. operations in many ways, as illustrated above. I.T. sector players and firms have increased benefits, which can use automation for sending jobs to field agents from operations centers, managing exceptions in load balancing allocations, and optimizing transport routes for jobs delivered. RPA automates customer logging, navigation, and response, which are the source of self-help devices. The RPA's task is undertaken by RPA to help minimize resolution time and maximize time spent on judgmental work. Such approaches help to enhance not only the monitoring of injuries but also customer service, by reducing the need for personal call center support Smith, 2017). Automated customer phone logs methodology enables one telco company to decrease handling time for call center agents by 10 percent over the entire service family. Inspection is also a factory area where robots are being used more and less. In a common inspection task, the robot positions a sensor on the workpiece and decides if the component is conforming to the standard requirements. A robot provides a replacement for social work in almost all industrial, automotive systems (International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation, and Robotics, &Braz, 2006). A digital computer is used to monitor the processes of a development process in the computer cycle. Although the term computer production process is typically used in other automated systems, it is usually associated with consistent or half-permanent production operations. The results have been promising because global manufacturers integrate automation and new technology into their services. The ultimate goal is to improve operational efficiency for companies. And employees are becoming less repetitive and judgment-consuming in the blend of their task. Adjustments to workers build new and more exciting jobs, not just remove positions.

References

[1] Balasubramanian, R., & In Santos, V. J. (2014). "*The human hand as an inspiration for robot hand development*". Cham: Springer.

[2] Billingsley, J. (2019). "*Robotics and automation for improving agriculture*". London, UK: Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing.

[3] Bolz, R. W. (1985). "*Manufacturing Automation Management: A Productivity Handbook".* Boston, MA: Springer US.

[4] Didion, I., Hernández, P., Kaushik, A., & Masri, K. (2019). "*Operations management, reshaped by robotic automation. McKinsey & Company."* Retrieved from: https://www.mckinsey.com/businessfunctions/operati

ons/our-insights/operationsmanagement-reshapedby-robotic-automation

[5] Duro, R., Kondratenko, Y., &Duro, R. J. (2015). "*Advances in Intelligent Robotics and Collaborative Automation ¿. Aalborg: River Publishers*".

[6] Ellingrud, K. (2018). *"The Upside of Automation: New Jobs, Increased Productivity and Changing Roles for Workers. Forbes*". Retrieved from: https://www.forbes.com/sites/kweilinellingrud/2018/10/23/the-upside-of-automation-newjobsincreased-productivity-and-changing-rolesforworkers/#4b0d9ffe7df0

[7] Freeform Dynamics. (July 2017). *"The Impact of Automation on I.T. Operations Are you ready for the software-defined datacentre? Fujitsu"*. Retrieved from: https://www.fujitsu.com/si/Images/user_survey_report_The_Impact_of_Automation_on_IT_Operations_ww_en.pdf

[8] Fish, A. N. (2012). *"Knowledge automation: How to implement decision management in business processes*". Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley.

[9] Huizinga, D., &Kolawa, A. (2007). "*Automated defect prevention: Best practices in software management*". Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley Interscience.

[10] International Conference on Informatics in Control, "*Automation and Robotics*", &Braz, J. 2006). *"Informatics in control, automation, and robotics*". Dordrecht: Springer.

[11] G r a h a m , D . , & F e w s t e r, M . (2012). "*Experiences of test automation: Case studies of software test automation*". Upper Saddle River, NJ: Addison-Wesley.

[12] Humble, J., & Farley, D. (2011). "*Continuous delivery. Upper Saddle River"*, NJ: Addison- Wesley.

[13] Kamath, N., & Saurav, S. (2016). "*Handbook of research on strategic supply chain management in the retail industry"*. Hershey, Pennsylvania IGI Global.

[14] Kim, G., Humble, J., Debois, P., & Willis, J. (2016). "*The DevOps Handbook: How to Create World-Class Agility, Reliability, & Security in Technology Organizations*". Place of publication not identified: BizBookLab.

[15] Macharla, P. (2017). "*Android continuous integration: Build-deploy-test automation for Android mobile apps*". Place of publication not identified: Apress.

[16] Meyler, K., & Bengtsson, A. (2015). "*System Center 2012 service manager unleashed*". Indianapolis, Ind: Sams.

[17] Papajorgji, P. J., Pinet, F., Guimaräaes, A. M., &Papathanasiou, J. (2016). *"Automated enterprise systems for maximizing business performance*". Hershey, Pennsylvania IGI Global.

[18] Picozzi, S. (2017). "*DevOps with Openshift: Cloud deployments made easy*". Place of the publication not identified: O'Reilly Media.

[19] Ravichandran, A., Taylor, K., & Waterhouse, P. (2016). *"DevOps for digital leaders: Reignite Business with a modern DevOps-enabled software factory. [Berkeley, California] :*

[20] Apress: C.A. Press, New York, NY: Distributed to the Book trade worldwide by Springer.

[21] Savard, R., & Dione, B. (2008). "*Managing Technologies and Automated Library Systems in Developing Countries: Open Source vs. Commercial Options"*. Proceedings of the IFLA Pre-Conference Satellite Meeting Dakar, Sénégal, August 15-16 2007 . S.L.: De Gruyter.

[22] Amritha Asst.Prof.,Prof.D.V.Ramana, Dr.T.Narayana Reddy, "*Employee Work-Life Balance in the IT Industry*", SSRG International Journal of Economics and Management Studies, Volume 4 Issue 6, 2017.

[23] Slack, N., & Brandon-Jones, A. (2018). *"Operations and process management principles and practice for strategic impact. Harlow*", England Pearson.

[24] Smith, K. J. (2017). "*The practical guide to world-class I.T. service management. Place of the publication not identified: Anima Group*".

[25] Sjøbakk, B., Thomassen, M. K., &Alfnes, E. (2014). *"Implications of automation in engineer- to-order production: a case study*". Advances in Manufacturing", 2(2), 141–149. DOI: 10.1007/ s40436-014-0071-4

[26] Verona, J., Duffy, M., &Swartout, P. (2016). "*Learning DevOps: Continuously Deliver better software: learn to use some of the most exciting and powerful tools to deliver worldclass quality software with continuous delivery and DevOps: a course in three modules*". Birmingham, England: Packt Publishing.

[27] Wang, M. (2013). Knowledge Science, Engineering and Management: 6th International The conference, KSEM 2013, Dalian, China, August 10-12, 2013. Proceedings. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.